

Getting a Megapixel Image of UAP

By Avi Loeb on July 5, 2021

The Pentagon [report](#) that was delivered to Congress on June 25th is intriguing enough to motivate scientific inquiry towards the goal of identifying its [Unidentified Aerial Phenomena](#) (UAP). The nature of UAP is not a philosophical matter. It's also not a puzzle that politicians should be asked to resolve - for the same reason that plumbers should not be asked to bake cakes. Policy makers or military personnel have insufficient training in science and no authority over unexpected phenomena in the sky.

Hoping to get the never-arriving information from officials creates the frustrating experience portrayed in Samuel Beckett's play, "[Waiting for Godot](#)." Given these circumstances, scientists should find the answer through the standard scientific process based on a transparent analysis of open data. The task boils down to getting a high-resolution image of UAP. [A picture is worth a thousand words](#). More specifically, a megapixel image of the surface of an unusual object will allow us to distinguish the label: "Made in China" from the alternative: "Made on Exo-Planet X".

Consider an object the size of a person at a distance of one mile. Suppose we wish to resolve features as small as the width of a letter in this text. That is equivalent to resolving a thousandth of the person's height, which would require obtaining a [megapixel](#) image with a million resolution elements. The [Rayleigh criterion](#) in optics implies that the best [angular resolution](#) of a telescope is at the so-called "[diffraction limit](#)," roughly the wavelength of light divided by the aperture diameter. For visible light, the desired resolution in our example can be obtained by a telescope with a diameter of a meter, which can be purchased off-the-shelf [online](#). The telescope should be linked to a suitable camera, with the resulting data stream fed to a computer system - where optimized software would filter out the transients of interest as the telescope tiles the sky with its field of view. The initial survey could start from a large field of view, but then zoom-in on the object of interest as it is tracked across the sky. UAP could change their sky position much faster than any astronomical sources located at great distances. But they also need to be distinguished from birds, airplanes, satellites or instrumental artifacts. The actual fidelity of the image will be limited by blurring owing to atmospheric turbulence, and will therefore depend on the elevation and distance of the UAP. The sky survey will also need to extend over a period of time long enough for the detection of UAP to be probable. These are all major challenges.

The telescope facilities can be placed in geographical locations that will maximize the chance of reproducing past UAP reports. Lower-cost video cameras with lower resolution can be distributed across more locations around the globe to achieve a comprehensive survey of the entire sky. There are astronomical facilities, such as [ZTF](#), [LCO](#), [TAOS](#), [ASASSN](#), or [PanSTARRS](#), already in place at remote locations for the different task of searching for distant transients that do not move across the sky as fast as UAP. The data volume will increase dramatically when the [VRO/LSST](#) facility in Chile commences operations in 2023. UAP debunkers often ask: "why are numerous personal cameras always producing fuzzy

images of unidentified objects?” The answer is simple: their apertures are hundreds of times smaller than the desired meter-scale telescopes.

The cost of establishing a network of suitable telescopes is lower than the amount invested so far in the search for the nature of dark matter. We do not know which particles constitute most of the matter in the universe. It is a search compromised by uncertainties, just like the search for UAP. But if some of the UAP are of extraterrestrial origin, the implications would be far greater for society than if dark matter is [Weakly Interacting Massive Particles](#) (WIMPs). The extraterrestrial finding will change the way we perceive our place in the universe, our aspirations for space, our theological and philosophical beliefs and the way we treat other humans.

And all of these implications can be triggered by a single megapixel image obtained at a reasonable cost. In a forum that I attended recently concerning my book, [Extraterrestrial](#), I was asked about the prior probability assigned to the possibility that the weird interstellar object [‘Oumuamua](#) or UAP are extraterrestrial in origin. I clarified that it is unknown just as in the case of dark matter being WIMPs. But since a megapixel image of UAP is affordable and is of great interest to the public and the government, we should simply obtain one. Indeed, a picture of an ‘Oumuamua-like object would be worth sixty-six thousand words, the number of words in my book. We should not seek data from government-owned sensors that were not designed for this purpose, but instead collect our own state-of-the-art scientific data in a reproducible fashion. Most of the sky above us is not classified.

In a podcast interview I recently had with a young audience, they agreed: “Let’s just do it.” It was refreshing to see eye-to-eye with the torch bearers of the future, as well as with potential funders of the UAP imaging project, all within the same week. A day later, I was [asked](#) by Rahel Solomon of CNBC: “How do you plan to celebrate UAP Day?” Thankful for the reminder, I said: “we will probably need our computers to figure out the nature of UAP, and so my plan is to celebrate the day with my computer.”

ABOUT THE AUTHOR



Avi Loeb

Avi Loeb is the founding director of Harvard University's - Black Hole Initiative, director of the Institute for Theory and Computation at the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, and the former chair of the astronomy department at Harvard University (2011-2020). He chairs the advisory board for the Breakthrough Starshot project, and is a former member of the President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology and a former chair of the Board on Physics and Astronomy of the National Academies. He is the bestselling author of “[Extraterrestrial: The First Sign of Intelligent Life Beyond Earth](#)” and a co-author of the textbook “[Life in the Cosmos](#).”