

What Counts as Scientific Evidence?

By Avi Loeb on July 16, 2021

In the courtroom, corroborating eyewitness testimonies can lead to a life sentence in jail. But in science, such testimonies are of limited value. Science mandates quantitative measurements by instruments, removing the subjective impressions of humans from the balance scale of reliability. This is for a good reason. Some people truly believe in a reality that does not exist, either because of hallucinations or due to deep psychological forces that drive them to ignore facts, especially those that are not flattering to their forecasts or ego.

Similarly, single-time events - such as miracles - do not garner scientific credibility. Science rests on [reproducible results](#) which can be replicated by arranging similar circumstances over and over again.

The nature of credible scientific evidence is particularly critical in the context of [Unidentified Flying Objects \(UFOs\)](#), also referred to as [Unidentified Aerial Phenomena \(UAP\)](#). Past reports constitute a mixed bag, containing also eyewitness testimonies and low-quality instrumental data. In a recent interview about my book [Extraterrestrial](#), a journalist referred to the astronomical discovery of the weird interstellar object [`Oumuamua](#) as if it were a UFO report. I clarified that the two are of very different nature, because the data on `Oumuamua was obtained through scientific observations on fully-equipped state-of-the-art telescopes, whereas even the best UFO reports stem from a jittery camera on a fighter jet maneuvering along an unknown path. Such a UFO report does not constitute a standard scientific measurement in a reproducible setup. Any supporting testimonies by pilots are vulnerable to the subjectivity inherent in human experiences. We must humbly recognize that a complete quantitative knowledge of the conditions in an experimental setup is a fundamental pre-requisite for scientific data to be credible.

With this principle in mind, the Pentagon [report](#) that was delivered to Congress on June 25, 2021 is intriguing enough to motivate scientific inquiry towards the goal of identifying its UAP. But policy makers or military personnel have insufficient training in science and no authority over unexpected phenomena in the sky.

Rather than dismiss the Pentagon evidence as insufficient, scientists should be motivated to replicate it with better instruments. This is the rationale for the new [Galileo Project](#) that I initiated recently to scientifically explore the nature of UAP. The primary objective of this research endeavor is to bring the search for extraterrestrial technological signatures of extraterrestrial technological civilization (ETCs) from accidental or anecdotal observations to the mainstream of transparent, validated and systematic scientific research.

The [Galileo Project](#) follows three major avenues of research. The first involves [obtaining high-resolution images of UAP](#) using an array of dedicated small-aperture telescope at

various geographical locations. Extensive Artificial Intelligence/Deep Learning (AI/DL) and algorithmic approaches are needed to differentiate atmospheric phenomena from birds, balloons, commercial or drones, and from potential technological objects of terrestrial or other origin surveying our planet, such as satellites. For the purpose of high contrast imaging, each telescope will be part of a detector array of complementary capabilities from radar systems to optical and infrared cameras on telescopes. Parallax could also help to map the motion of objects in three dimensions. For example, two telescopes separated by three feet would see an object at a distance as large as ten miles with a resolvable angular separation of ten arcseconds.

The second goal of the [Galileo Project](#) involves [searching for 'Oumuamua-like interstellar objects](#). The project aims to utilize existing and future astronomical surveys, such as the [Legacy Survey of Space and Time \(LSST\)](#) on the Vera C. Rubin Observatory (VRO), to discover and monitor the properties of interstellar visitors to the Solar system. The research team will conceptualize and design a launch-ready space mission to [image](#) unusual interstellar objects such as 'Oumuamua by intercepting their trajectories on their approach to the Sun or by using ground-based survey telescopes to discover interstellar meteors.

Finally, the [Galileo Project](#) will be [searching for potential ETC satellites orbiting Earth](#). Discovering meter-scale or smaller satellites that may be exploring Earth, e.g., in polar orbits a few hundred km above Earth, may become feasible with VRO in 2023, but if radar, optical and infrared technologies have been mastered by an ETC, then sophisticated telescopes on Earth will be required, with advanced algorithmic and AI/DL methods that the [Galileo Project](#) intends to develop and deploy, initially on non-orbiting telescopes.

As humans we should be proud of any AI/DL systems we bring to existence, as if they were our children. In just the same way as we educate our kids, we could endow such systems with the blueprint for their future interaction with the world. This would include our preferred set of values, goals and guiding principles, which will enable them to learn from experience and cope with reality. Ultimately, we may launch our AI/DL systems for interstellar travel towards distant destinations, such as habitable planets around other stars, where they could reproduce themselves with the help of accompanying 3D printers.

And if other technological civilizations predated us, they may have done so already. Even if the [Galileo Project](#) will discover one such AI/DL system of extraterrestrial origin, that finding would have great implications for humanity. As is the case for AI/DL systems that learn from interactions with their environment, the scientific process of collecting evidence is key for a reliable revision of our own view of the world around us.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR



Avi Loeb

Avi Loeb is the founding director of Harvard University's - Black Hole Initiative, director of the Institute for Theory and Computation at the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, and the former chair of the astronomy department at Harvard University (2011-2020). He chairs the advisory board for the Breakthrough Starshot project, and is a former member of the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology and a former chair of the Board on Physics and Astronomy of the National Academies. He is the bestselling author of "[*Extraterrestrial: The First Sign of Intelligent Life Beyond Earth*](#)" and a co-author of the textbook "[*Life in the Cosmos*](#)."